Application No. 09/871,991 Amendment Date June 28, 2004 Reply to Office action of June 8, 2004

Louis Piloco

Remarks

Claim 6 was rewritten in independent form to overcome the rejection under 35 U.S.C. § 112 and to include all of the limitations of the base claim and any intervening claims according to the instruction of Examiner Chang. It should be in condition for allowance.

Claims 7-9 were rewritten to overcome the rejection under 35 U.S.C. § 112 and to include all of the limitations of the base claim and any intervening claims according to the instruction of Examiner Chang. It should be in condition for allowance.

Claim 10 was rewritten in independent form to overcome the rejection under 35 U.S.C. § 112 and to include all of the limitations of the base claim and any intervening claims according to the instruction of Examiner Chang. It should be in condition for allowance.

Claim 18 was rewritten in independent form to include all of the limitations of the base claim and any intervening claims according to the instruction of Examiner Chang. It should be in condition for allowance.

Conclusion

In view of the above remarks, applicant submits that this application is in condition for allowance.

Conditional Request for Constructive Assistance

If for any reason this application is not believed to be in full condition for allowance, applicant respectfully requests the constructive assistance and suggestions of the Examiner pursuant to MPEP para. 707.07(j) in order that the undersigned can place this

p.6

Application No. 09/871,991 Amendment Date June 28, 2004 Reply to Office action of June 8, 2004 application in allowable condition as soon as possible and without the need for further proceedings.

Louis Piloco

JJ. Lee

Respectfully submitted,

Shih-Jong J. Lee